

25-90039-jm
April 8, 2026
Acting Chief Judge

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE
SECOND CIRCUIT**

-----X

In re
CHARGE OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT Docket No. 25-90039-jm

-----X

RAYMOND J. LOHIER, JR., *Acting Chief Judge*:¹

In May 2025, the Complainant filed a complaint with the Clerk’s Office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit pursuant to the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364 (the “Act”), and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (the “Rules”), charging a circuit judge of this Circuit with misconduct.

The Complainant alleges that he filed a lawsuit in 2019 against “CIA-Mossad agents members of the domestic assassination program”; that the presiding district judge “unlawfully protected the agents”; that “at all times” his

¹ This complaint was forwarded to Acting Chief Judge Raymond J. Lohier, Jr., pursuant to Rule 25(a), (f).

“case-related appeals . . . have been sabotaged” by the circuit judge and others; and that in 2024 and 2025 the circuit judge “did not process” his “motion information statements.” The latter allegation appears to refer to applications the Complainant filed seeking leave to appeal, as he is under a leave-to-file sanction such that he is not permitted to appeal unless the court of appeals grants him leave to do so.

The complaint is dismissed as “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling,” 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), and as frivolous, Rule 11(c)(1)(C). *See also* Rule 11 cmt. (explaining that dismissal is appropriate “when the allegations are facially incredible or so lacking in indicia of reliability that no further inquiry is warranted”). If the Complainant wishes to appeal the denial of his leave-to-file applications, he may do so through ordinary appellate procedures.

The Complainant has now filed at least six complaints of judicial misconduct arising from related subject matter.² He is warned that further abuse of the judicial misconduct complaint process may result in the imposition of restrictions or conditions on its use. *See* Rule 10(a) (“A complainant who has

² The five previous complaints were 24-90048-jm, 24-90049-jm, 24-90050-jm, 24-90051-jm, and 24-90071-jm.

filed repetitive, harassing, or frivolous complaints, or has otherwise abused the complaint procedure, may be restricted from filing further complaints.”).

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this order to the Complainant and to the circuit judge.